

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/750,005	12/30/2003	Herbert T. Nagasawa	30451.2USU1	9934
26941 75	90 11/15/2006		EXAMINER	
MANDEL & ADRIANO			HEARD, THOMAS SWEENEY	
55 SOUTH LAI SUITE 710	KE AVENUE		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
PASADENA, (CA 91101		1654	
			DATE MAILED: 11/15/2006	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summan		10/750,005 NAGASAWA ET AL.					
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
_		Thomas S. Heard	1654				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL'CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D. Insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. O period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period or the toreply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>08 Ju</u>	une 2006.					
2a)□		action is non-final.					
3) 🗌	Since this application is in condition for allowa	nce except for formal matters, pro	secution as to the merits is	į			
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.				
Disposit	ion of Claims	,					
4)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-4,7,9,10,20-22,25,26,33-35,38,39,4</u>	16,47,50 and 51 is/are pending in	the application.				
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	wn from consideration.					
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠	☑ Claim(s) <u>1-4,7,9,10,20-22,25,26,33-35,38,39,46,47,50 and 51</u> is/are rejected.						
7))☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8)[Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.					
Applicati	on Papers						
9)	The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acc	epted or b) \square objected to by the ${ t I}$	Examiner.				
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
_	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct		•) .			
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	caminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119		•				
-	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign ☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	-(d) or (f).				
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior		ed in this National Stage				
* 0	application from the International Bureau	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •					
	See the attached detailed Office action for a list	or the certified copies not receive	a.				
Attachmen	t(s)						
1) 🛛 Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)				
2) D Notic	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P	ite				
	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	ατείτε Αμμιισαίιστι				

Art Unit: 1654

DETAILED ACTION

Applicant's request for reconsideration of the finality of the rejection of the last Office action is persuasive and, therefore, the finality of that action is withdrawn.

Claims 1-4, 7, 9, 10, 20-22, 25, 26, 33-35, 38, 39, 46, 47, 50, and 51 are pending.

Response to Arguments

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

Applicant's arguments, see page 9-16, filed 6/8/2006, with respect to 35 USC § 103 have been fully considered and are persuasive. The rejection of claims 1-4, 7, 9, 10, 20-22, 25, 26, 33-35, 38, 39, 46, 47, 50, and 51has been withdrawn.

New Grounds of Rejection

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-4, 7, 9, 10, 20-22, 25, 26, 33-35, 38, 39, 46, 47, 50, and 51 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over:

Shirota FN, DeMaster EG, Shoeman DW, Nagasawa HT, "Acetaminophen-induced suppression of hepatic AdoMet synthetase activity is attenuated by prodrugs of L-cysteine," Toxicol Lett. 2002 Jun 7;132(1):1-8;

Art Unit: 1654

Jonas AJ et al, "Cystine accumulation and loss in normal, heterozygous, and cystinotic fibroblasts," Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 1982 Jul;79(14):4442-5; and Bender AS et al, "Characterization of cystine uptake in cultured astrocytes," Neurochem Int. 2000 Aug-Sep;37(2-3):269-76.

Shirota et al teaches the suppression of hepatotoxicity and oxidative stress induced by acetaminophen by the administration of the prodrug of L-cysteine, specifically that of CySSME. Shirota et al teaches that the "hepatoprotection by cysteine generated from a prodrug, however, is due to enhanced GSH synthesis and maintenance of hepatic GSH homeostasis rather than to direct scavenging of the reactive-ACP metabolite by cysteine, see page 5, second column and last paragraph. Shirota et al does not teach the use of the naturally occurring, mixed-disulfide, L-Cysteine prodrug CySSG.

Jonas AJ teaches the administration of CSSG (the same as the Applicant's CySSG) for the induction of Cystine in both normal, heterozygous, and cystinoic fibroblasts. Bender A.S. et al teaches that "the amino acid cystine is required for maintaining cellular levels of glutathione, a compound which protects cells against oxidative stress and various toxins (Meister and Anderson, 1983). Once taken up by cells, cystine is reduced to cysteine, the rate-limiting precursor of glutathione synthesis (Bannai and Teteishi, 1986)."

The difference between what is instantly claimed and the prior art is that Bender AJ provides the nexus between the administration of CySSG and the production of GSH for the reduction of oxidative stress with a L-Cysteine prodrug.

It would have been obvious at the time of the instantly claimed invention to substitute CySSG for CySSME for the production of GSH and the reduction of oxidative stress due to the toxic dose of acetaminophen. One would have been motivated to do so given Jonas' teaching that intracellular Cystine production can be induced with the administration of CySSG instantly claimed, and with Bender' teaching that Cystine is converted to cysteine, the precursor to GSH. From the teachings of the references, it is apparent that one of ordinary skill in the art would have had a reasonable expectation of success in producing the claimed invention. Therefore, the invention as a whole was prima facie obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made, as evidenced by the references.

Conclusion

No claims are allowed.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Thomas S. Heard whose telephone number is (571) 272-2064. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:00 a.m. to 6:30 p.m..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Cecilia Tsang can be reached on (571) 272-0562. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for Application/Control Number: 10/750,005

Art Unit: 1654

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a

USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information

system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

TSH

Gecilia J. Tsang *
Supervisory Patent Examiner

Page 5

Governor Center 1600